



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	------------------

08/629,974

04/09/96

NUSSBAUMER

H

2821-162

EXAMINER

IM61/0413

MCCORMICK PAULDING & HUBER  
CITYPLACE II  
185 ASYLUM STREET  
HARTFORD CT 06103-4102

FIANALTO, R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1762

13

DATE MAILED: 04/13/98

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.  
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY

☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3-2-98

☐ This action is FINAL.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days; whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

- ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.  
Of the above, claim(s) 5-10 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  
☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  
☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  
☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

- ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.  
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  
☐ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been  
☐ received.  
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  
☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received:

- ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892  
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413  
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

—SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES—

Art Unit: 1762

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takeda et al in view of Sendzimir for the same reasons as urged in the second office action taken with Takahashi et al.

3. The primary reference as <sup>modified</sup> defined by Sendzimir fails <sup>in</sup> anticipation of these claims in that reducing the gap between container bodies in the spray area is not disclosed. Takahashi et al Figure 1 discloses reducing the gap between containers in the spray area. It is the examiner's opinion that it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in this <sup>art</sup> at the time the invention was made to reduce the gap between containers in the spray areas as suggested by Takahashi et al to reduce waste of coating material.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bernard D. Pianalto at telephone number (703) 308-2332.

Bernard D. Pianalto/om  
April 11, 1998

*Bernard D. Pianalto*  
BERNARD PIANALTO  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1100